# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Robert Stebbins, M.D. Certificate # G-18067	) ) ) ) )	File No: 03-97-72189
Respondent	. )	
	DECIS	<u>ION</u>
The attached Stipulation i the Medical Board of California		oted by the Division of Medical Quality of in the above-entitled matter.
This Decision shall becom	e effective on	September 30, 1997 .
It is so orderedSeptem	ber 23, 1997	- •
	, -	
		DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
		Dude L

Anabel Anderson Imbert, M.D.

Chair Panel B

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California SUSAN K. MEADOWS Deputy Attorney General 3 50 Fremont, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 356-6282 Attorneys for Complainant 6 7 BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 8 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 In the Matter of the Accusation No. 039772189 11 Against: STIPULATION FOR SURRENDER Robert D. Stebbins, M.D. OF LICENSURE 12 770 Welch Rd., Suite 300 Palo Alto, CA 94304 13 Physician and Surgeon's Certificate) 14 No. G18067 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Robert D. Stebbins, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent") with the counsel and 19 20 advice of his attorney, Edward Hinshaw, of the law firm of Hinshaw, Winkler, Draa, Marsh & Still; and complainant Ronald 21 Joseph, in his official capacity as Executive Director of the 22 23 Medical Board of California ("Board"), by and through its 24 attorney, Daniel E. Lungren, Attorney General, by Susan Meadows, 25 Deputy Attorney General, as follows: 26 The Accusation No.039772189 is presently pending 27 against Robert D. Stebbins, M.D. (hereinafter referred to as the "respondent"), physician and surgeon's certificate number G18067, before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter referred to as the "Division").

20.

- 2. The complainant in said Accusation, Ron Joseph, was the Executive Director of the Board at the time the accusation was filed and brought said Accusation in his official capacity only.
- 3. Respondent has fully discussed with his attorney, Edward Hinshaw, the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation and therefore has been fully advised concerning his rights in this matter.
- 4. Respondent's license history and status as set forth in paragraph 2 of the Accusation is true and correct and respondent's address of record is as set forth in the caption of this Stipulation. (A copy of the Accusation is attached hereto and made a part hereof).
- 5. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, such charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon respondent's license issued by the Board.
- 6. Respondent and his counsel are aware of each of respondent's rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against respondent, the right to testify and present evidence on his own behalf, as well as to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, the right to contest the charges and

allegations, and other rights which are accorded respondent pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, § 11500 et seq.) and other applicable laws, including the right to seek reconsideration, review by the superior court, and appellate review.

- 7. In order to avoid the expense and uncertainty of a hearing, respondent freely and voluntarily waives each and every one of these rights set forth above. Respondent desires to surrender his license. For purposes of settlement of this action, respondent agrees that if the charges and allegations were proved at hearing, cause would exist to impose discipline on his license pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234, and hereby surrenders his license for the Division's formal acceptance.
- 8. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he is enabling the Division of Medical Quality to issue its order accepting the surrender of his license without further process. He understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel.
- 9. Upon acceptance of the stipulation by the Division, respondent understands that he will no longer be permitted to practice as a physician in California, and also agrees to surrender and cause to be delivered to the Division both his license and wallet certificate before the effective date of the decision.

° 1. 10. Respondent fully understands and agrees that if he 2 ever files an application for relicensure or reinstatement in the 3 State of California, the Division shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. The respondent must comply with all the laws, 4 5 regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed. Respondent agrees 6 7 that, for procedural purposes of the reinstatement hearing only, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 8 9 039772189 will be assumed to be admitted by respondent when the Division determines whether to grant or deny the petition. 10 Respondent further agrees that if the Board should determine to 11 grant reinstatement of his license, then a condition precedent to 12 any order of reinstatement of licensure will be that respondent 13 14 shall pay to the Board the sum of \$9,492.50 (Nine thousand, four hundred and ninety-two dollars and fifty cents) which constitutes 15 16 cost recovery in this action pursuant to section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code. 17

11. All statements and recitals contained in this stipulation are made solely for the purpose of settlement in this proceeding and for any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceedings.

24

25

26

27

18

19

20

21

22

23

#### ACCEPTANCE

I, Robert Stebbins, have carefully read the above stipulation and enter into it freely and voluntarily and with the

advice of counsel, and with full knowledge of its force and 2 effect, do hereby surrender my License No. G18067, to the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California for its 4 formal acceptance. By signing this stipulation to surrender my 5 license, I recognize that upon its formal acceptance by the 6 Division, I will lose all rights and privileges to practice as a 7 physician and surgeon in the State of California and I also will 8 cause to be delivered to the Division both my license and wallet 9 certificate before the effective date of the decision. DATED: August 18, 1997 10 11 12 13 Respondent 14 15 I concur in the stipulation. 16 DATED: 17 18 Hinshaw 19 HINSHAW, WINKLER, DRAA, MARSH & STILL 20 Attorney for Respondent 21

בית ערו.

22

23

24

25

26

27

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California

SUSAN K. MÉADOWS

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

## EXHIBIT A

2	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California	
3	Susan Kay Meadows Deputy Attorney General	
4	California Department of Justice STATE OF CALFURNIA	
!	San Francisco California 94105	
5	Telephone: (415) 356-6282	
6	Attorneys for Complainant $igcup V$	
7	BEFORE THE	
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) Case No. 039772189 Against:	
12	)	
13	Robert D. Stebbins, M.D. ) 770 Welch Rd., Suite 300 ) ACCUSATION	
14	Palo Alto, CA 94304 )	
15	License No. G18067	
16	Respondent. )	
17		
18	The Complainant alleges:	
19	<u>PARTIES</u>	
20	1. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director	
21	of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and	
22	brings this accusation solely in his official capacity.	
23	2. On or about March 19, 1970, License No. G18067 was	
24	issued by the Board to Robert D. Stebbins (hereinafter	
25	"respondent"), and at all times relevant to the charges brought	
26	herein, this license has been in full force and effect. Unless	

27 renewed, it will expire on November 30, 1997.

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"):
  - A. Section 2227 of the Code provides:
  - (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
    - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the division.
    - (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the division.
    - (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the division.
    - (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the division.
    - (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as the division or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
    - (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a),

except for warning letters, medical review or advisory
conferences, or other matters made confidential or
privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall
be made available to the public by the board.

Section 2227 of the Code provides that the Board may revoke,

Section 2227 of the Code provides that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.

- B. Section 2234 of the Code provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
  - (b) Gross negligence.
  - (c) Repeated Negligent Acts
  - C. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
  - D. Section 726 of the Code provides:

The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient, client, or customer constitutes unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action for any person licensed under this division, under any initiative act

referred to in this division and under Chapter 17 (commencing with Section 9000) of Division 3.

1.6

- E. Section 16.01 of the Budget Act of 1996 provides:
- (a) No funds appropriated by this act may be expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any service performed by a physician while that physician's license is under suspension or revocation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California.
- expended to pay any Medi-Cal claim for any surgical service or other invasive procedure performed on any Medi-Cal beneficiary by a physician if that physician has been placed on probation due to a disciplinary action of the Medical Board of California related to the performance of that specific service or procedure on any patient, except in any case where the Board makes a determination during its disciplinary process that there exist compelling circumstances that warrant continued Medi-Cal reimbursement during the probationary period.
- (c) The State Department of Health Services shall ensure that no Medi-Cal claim is paid in violation of subdivision (a) or (b).
- (d) The Medical Board of California shall work with the State Department of Health Services to provide all information necessary to accomplish the purposes of this section. The Board and the department shall

report to the Legislature, upon the conclusion of each calendar quarter of the 1996-97 fiscal year, the number of physicians on probation who are not receiving Medi-Cal reimbursement as a result of this section, and the number of physicians on probation who continue to be eligible for Medi-Cal reimbursement as a result of a determination of the Board.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Patient A.C.1/)

(Sexual Misconduct/Gross Negligence/Unprofessional Conduct)

- 4. From, January of 1993 until approximately August of 1996, respondent, an oncologist, undertook to treat patient A.C. for breast cancer. On or about 1996, while A.C. was a patient and undergoing treatment and follow-up care with respondent, respondent and A.C. engaged in a romantic and sexual relationship.
- as alleged above constitutes sexual misconduct with a patient and/or gross negligence and unprofessional conduct and is cause for disciplinary action pursuant to section 726, section 2234(b), and section 2234 of the Code.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Patient C.O.)

<sup>1.</sup> Initials are used to identify the patients in this Accusation to protect their privacy. The full names of the patients identified will be disclosed to respondent pursuant to any Request for Discovery.

- 6. From approximately February of 1996 through January of 1997, respondent undertook to treat patient C.O. On or about September of 1996, while C.O. was still under respondent's care and treatment, respondent and C.O. engaged in a romantic and sexual relationship.
- 7. Respondent's conduct as alleged in paragraph 6 constitutes sexual misconduct with a patient and/or gross negligence and unprofessional conduct and is cause for disciplinary action pursuant to section 726, section 2234(b) and section 2234 of the Code.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Patients A.C and C.O.)

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

8. Respondent's conduct as set forth above in all Causes for Discipline constitutes cause for discipline pursuant to section 2234 (c) (repeated negligent acts) of the Code.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending License Number G18067 heretofore issued to respondent Robert D. Stebbins, M.D.;
- Revoking, suspending or denying approval of the respondent's authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 3527;
  - 3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the actual

1	and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this
2	case under section 125.3 of the Code; and, if placed on
3	probation, the costs of probation monitoring.
<b>4</b>	4. Taking such other and further action as the
5	Division deems necessary and proper.
6	DATED: May 22, 1997
7	
8	$\bigcap$ $\Lambda$
9	Ron Joseph
10	Executive Director
11	medical Board of California 3  Department of Consumer Affairs  State of California
12	·
13	Complainant
14	
15	Stebbins.Acc
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	